UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

No. 12-md-02311 Hon. Sean F. Cox
CASE NO. 2:12-CV-00103
CASE NO. 2:12-CV-00203
CASE NO. 2:12-CV-00303
CASE NO. 2:12-CV-00403
CASE NO. 2:12-CV-00503
CASE NO. 2:12-CV-00603
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-00703
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-00803
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-00903
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01003
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01103
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01203
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01303
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01403
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01503
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01603
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01703
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01803
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-01903
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02003
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02103
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02203
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02303
CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02403

IN RE: VALVE TIMING CONTROL DEVICES	CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02503
IN RE: ELECTRONIC THROTTLE BODIES	CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02603
IN RE: AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM	CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02703
IN RE : WINDSHIELD WASHER	CASE NO. 2:13-CV-02803
IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE CONSTANT	CASE NO. 2:14-CV-02903
VELOCITY JOINT BOOT PRODUCTS	
IN RE : SPARK PLUGS	CASE NO. 2:15-CV-03003
IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE HOSES	CASE NO. 2:15-CV-03203
IN RE: SHOCK ABSORBERS	CASE NO. 2:15-CV-03303
IN RE: BODY SEALING PRODUCTS	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-03403
IN RE: INTERIOR TRIM PRODUCTS	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-03503
IN RE : BRAKE HOSES	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-03603
IN RE: EXHAUST SYSTEMS	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-03703
IN RE: CERAMIC SUBSTRATES	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-03803
IN RE: POWER WINDOW SWITCHES	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-03903
IN RE: AUTOMOTIVE STEEL TUBE	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-04003
IN RE: ACCESS MECHANISMS ACTIONS	CASE NO. 2:16-CV-04103
IN RE : DOOR LATCHES	CASE NO. 2:17-CV-04303

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

End-Payor Actions

REPLY TO END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO SUR-REPLY MEMORANDUM AND REQUEST FOR SPECIAL MASTER

Contrary to Settlement Class Counsel's misleading and grossly exaggerated assertion in its Opposition (ECF No. 2232), counsel for Enterprise Fleet Management ("EFM") has claims on file on behalf of EFM customer lessees for exactly 3,964 of the same vehicles claimed by EFM. Declaration of Emma K. Burton attached as Exhibit A ¶4. In an effort to resolve this dispute in October of last year, EFM offered to

Settlement Class Counsel to forgo *any recovery* for vehicles for which a valid, competing claim was timely filed by a qualifying lessee to the same vehicle, which would include all 3,964 of these vehicles. *Id.* ¶5. In other words, under the proposal that EFM made to Settlement Class Counsel over 10 months ago, there would be no duplicate recovery based on the same vehicle. Settlement Class Counsel continues to reject EFM's proposal.

September 19, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Lawrence J. Lines III

Lawrence J. Lines III Crowell & Moring LLP 3454 Shakespeare Dr. Troy, MI 48084

Emma K. Burton

Telephone: (949) 798-1389 Email: jlines@crowell.com

Deborah E. Arbabi Daniel A. Sasse Crowell & Moring LLP 3 Park Plaza, 20th Floor Irvine, CA 92614-8505 Telephone: (949) 263-8400 Email: darbabi@crowell.com

dsasse@crowell.com

Ann L. Rives Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 624-2500 Email: eburton@crowell.com

arives@crowell.com

Counsel for Enterprise Fleet Management, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 19, 2022, a copy of the foregoing was filed

electronically using the Court's ECF system, which will send notification to each

attorney of record by electronic means. Parties may access this filing through the

Court's system.

Dated: September 19, 2022

By: /s/ Lawrence J. Lines III

Lawrence J. Lines III

3